

SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Author: Runner Analyst: Deborah Barrett Bill Number: SB 143
 Related Bills: See Prior Analysis Telephone: 8454301 Amended Date: 06-27-05
 Attorney: Patrick Kusiak Sponsor: _____

SUBJECT: State Agencies Make Separate Breakdown Of Antelope Valley In Preparation and Maintenance of Any Statistical Analysis

- _____ DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended _____.
- _____ AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.
- ☒ AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENTS CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as amended April 26, 2005.
- _____ FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.
- _____ DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO _____.
- ☒ REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS AMENDED April 26, 2005, STILL APPLIES.
- _____ OTHER – See comments below.

SUMMARY

This bill would require certain state agencies preparing statistical data to provide a separate breakdown for the Antelope Valley.

SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

The June 27, 2005, amendments added the Business, Transportation, and Housing Agency as one of the agencies required to provide a breakdown of the Antelope Valley area when preparing or maintaining statistical analysis or data. The remainder of the department's analysis of this bill as amended April 26, 2005, is still applicable. The "Implementation Concerns" and "Fiscal Impact" noted in the analysis as amended April 26, 2005, are restated for convenience.

POSITION

Pending

Board Position:

_____ S _____ NA _____ NP
 _____ SA _____ O _____ NAR
 _____ N _____ OUA _____ X _____ PENDING

Legislative Director

Date

Brian Putler

July 25, 2005

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

The department has identified the following implementation concerns. Department staff is available to work with the author's office to resolve these and other concerns that may be identified.

Data maintained and prepared by Franchise Tax Board (FTB) is extracted from income tax returns filed by taxpayers and business entities. Addresses provided on taxpayer returns are not always the taxpayer's residential address and may not adequately reflect those residents within the designated Antelope Valley.

In addition, this bill would designate the Antelope Valley by geographical boundaries that do not correlate to the United States Postal Service zip codes maintained within FTB systems. Developing statistical data specifically for Antelope Valley by using zip codes may result in imprecise data of the designated area due to over or under reporting of neighboring areas that are not intended to be included in the area designated as Antelope Valley.

FISCAL IMPACT

FTB's statistical data is not currently developed below a county level, and as noted above, the records that data is derived from is not stratified within geographical definitions. Assuming the Counties of Kern and Los Angeles are able to provide a list of zip codes that will identify the income tax returns filed with the department from within the designated area, the department estimates that the cost to modify current programs and processes to develop statistical data as required by this bill would be approximately \$ 57,000.

LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Deborah Barrett
Franchise Tax Board
(916) 845-4301
deborah.barrett@ftb.ca.gov

Brian Putler
Franchise Tax Board
(916) 845-6333
brian.putler@ftb.ca.gov